## EXHIBIT "A-1"

Filed 8/1/2017 8:32 PM Esther Degollado District Clerk Webb District Linda Torres 2017CVH001555D2

CAUSE NO		
JOSE CARDENAS	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
Plaintiff,	§	
•	§	
<b>v.</b>	§	
	§	WEBB COUNTY, TEXAS
THE TRAVELERS HOME AND	§	
MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY AND	§	
CODY MONZINGO	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT

#### PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

Jose Cardenas ("Plaintiff"), complains of The Travelers Home and Marine Insurance Company and Cody Monzingo (collectively "Defendants") and respectfully shows as follows:

### I. DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

1. Plaintiff intends for discovery to be conducted under Level 3 of Rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. This case involves complex issues and will require extensive discovery. Therefore, Plaintiff asks the court to order that discovery be conducted in accordance with a discovery control plan tailored to the circumstances of this suit.

### -II. PARTIES AND PROCESS SERVICE

- 2. Plaintiff(s) resides in Webb County, Texas.
- 3. Defendant The Travelers Home and Marine Insurance Company ("Travelers") is an insurance company engaging in the business of insurance in Texas. This-defendant may be served with process through its registered agent Corporation Service Company, 211 East 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 4. Defendant Cody Monzingo ("Monzingo") is an individual and an insurance adjuster licensed by the Texas Department of Insurance. This Defendant is a Texas resident and may be

served with process at the following address: P.O. Box 42927, Houston, TX 77242-2839. The Clerk is requested to issue Citations.

### III. JURISDICTION

- 5. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief over \$100,000.00 but not more than \$200,000.00, excluding interest and costs. Such damages sought are within the jurisdictional limits of the court. Plaintiff contends that the determination of damages is within the sole discretion of the Judge and Jury, but makes stipulation as required by Tex.R.Civ.P. 47.
- 6. The court has jurisdiction over Defendant Travelers because this Defendant engages in the business of insurance in Texas, and because Plaintiff's causes of action arise out of this Defendant's business activities in Texas.
- 7. The court has jurisdiction over Defendant Monzingo because this Defendant is a Texas resident, because this Defendant engages in the business of adjusting insurance claims in Texas, and because Plaintiff's causes of action arise out of this Defendant's business activities in Texas.

#### IV. VENUE

8. Venue is proper in Webb County, Texas, because the insured property giving rise to this cause of action is situated in Webb County, Texas. TEX.CIV.PRAC.REM.CODE §15.032.

### V. NOTICE AND CONDITIONS PRECEDENT

- 9. Defendants have been provided notice, in writing, of the claims made by Plaintiff in this petition, including Plaintiff's actual damages in the manner and form required.
- 10. All conditions precedent necessary to maintain this action and the Claim under the Policy have been performed, occurred, or have been waived by Defendants; and/or Defendants are

otherwise estopped from raising any conditions precedent due to Defendant's prior breach of the insurance contract.

#### VI. FACTS

- 11. Plaintiff is the owner of certain real property with improvement (including Plaintiff's home) located at 12602 White Rock Circle, Laredo, TX 78045 (the "Property"). The Property was insured by insurance policy number 0M8475-994897033-633-1, issued by Defendant Travelers (the "Policy"). Plaintiff is the owner of the Policy and the named insured on the Policy.
- 12. On or about 2/19/2017, or another time when the Policy was in effect, a severe storm caused substantial damage to the Property and constituted a covered loss under the Policy. After the loss, Plaintiff made a claim (claim no. UA40002001H) and demand for payment on Defendant Travelers for damages to the Property and other damages covered by the terms of the Policy (the "Claim"). After Plaintiff made the Claim, Defendant Travelers assigned or otherwise retained its employees and/or agents Defendant Monzingo to work on Plaintiff's Claim. All Defendants failed to comply with the Policy, the Texas Insurance Code and Texas law in handling Plaintiff's claim. Further, Defendant Travelers has refused to pay all amounts due and owing under the Policy for the Claim.
- 13. Defendant Monzingo made numerous errors in estimating the value of Plaintiff's claim, all of which were designed to intentionally minimize and underpay the loss incurred by Plaintiff. Defendants Monzingo failed to fully quantify Plaintiff's damages, thus demonstrating that this defendant did not conduct a thorough investigation of Plaintiff's claim. Defendant conducted a substandard investigation of Plaintiff's Claim, evidenced by the estimate issued by Defendant Monzingo and relied upon by Defendant Monzingo. The damage estimate failed to include all

damages to Plaintiff's Property. The damages Defendant Monzingo included in the estimate were grossly undervalued and did not allow for adequate funds to cover the cost of repairs to all the damages sustained. Defendants failed to thoroughly review and properly supervise the adjustment of the Claim, including the inspection of the Property, which ultimately led to approving an improper adjustment and an inadequately unfair settlement of Plaintiff's claim. Further, Defendant Monzingo knowingly and intentionally overlooked damages at the Property and used an inadequate and biased investigation as the basis for erroneously denying a portion of Plaintiff's claim. Because of Defendant Monzingo's conduct, Plaintiff's claim was underpaid and partially-denied.

- 14. Defendant Travelers failed to perform its contractual duties to adequately compensate Plaintiff under the terms of the Policy. Specifically, Defendant Travelers refused to pay the full proceeds owed under the Policy, although due demand was made for proceeds to be paid in an amount sufficient to cover the damaged property and all conditions precedent to recovery upon the Policy in question had been satisfied by Plaintiff. Defendant Travelers's conduct constitutes a breach of the contract between Defendant Travelers and Plaintiff.
- 15. All Defendants misrepresented to Plaintiff that much of the damage to the Property was not covered under the Policy, even though the damage was covered by the Policy. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of Tex.INS.CODE §541.060(a)(1).
- 16. All Defendants failed to attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of Plaintiff's Claim, when Travelers's liability was reasonably clear. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of Tex.Ins.Code §541.060(a)(2)(a).
- 17. All Defendants failed to explain to Plaintiff the reasons for their offer of an inadequate settlement. Specifically, Defendants failed to offer Plaintiff adequate compensation, without any

explanation why full payment was not being made. Furthermore, All Defendants did not communicate that any future settlements or payments would be forthcoming to pay for the entire loss covered under the Policy, nor did Defendants provide any explanation for the failure to adequately settle Plaintiff's claim. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(a)(3).

- All Defendants failed to affirm or deny coverage of Plaintiff's claim within a reasonable 18. time. Specifically, Plaintiff did not receive timely indication of acceptance or rejection, regarding the full and entire claims, in writing from Defendants. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of Tex.Ins.Code §541.060(a)(4).
- All Defendants refused to fully compensate Plaintiff for the Claim without conducting a 19. reasonable investigation of the Claim. Rather, Defendants performed an unreasonable outcomeoriented investigation of Plaintiff's claim, which resulted in a biased, unfair and inequitable evaluation of Plaintiff's Claim. Defendants' conduct constitutes a violation of TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(a)(7).
- Defendant Travelers failed to meet its obligations under the Texas Insurance Code 20. regarding the timely acknowledgement of Plaintiff's claim, beginning an investigation of Plaintiff's claim and requesting all information reasonably necessary to investigate Plaintiff's claim within the statutorily mandated time of receiving notice of Plaintiff's claim. Defendant Travelers's conduct constitutes a violation of TEX.INS.CODE §542.055.
- Defendant Travelers failed to accept or deny Plaintiff's full and entire Claim within the 21. statutorily mandated time of receiving all necessary information. Defendant Travelers's conduct constitutes a violation of Tex.Ins.Code §542.056.

- Defendant Travelers failed to meet its obligations under the Texas Insurance Code 22. regarding payment of claims without delay. Specifically, Defendant Travelers has delayed full payment of Plaintiff's claim longer than allowed and, to date, Plaintiff has not yet received full payment for Plaintiff's claim. Defendant Travelers's conduct constitutes a violation of TEX.INS.CODE §541.058.
- 23. From and after the time Plaintiff's claim was presented to Defendant Travelers, the liability of Defendant Travelers to pay the full claims in accordance with the terms of the Policy was reasonably clear. However, Defendant Travelers has refused to pay Plaintiff in full, despite there being no basis whatsoever on which a reasonable insurance company would have relied on to deny the full payment. Defendant Travelers's conduct constitutes a breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing.
- All Defendants knowingly or recklessly made false representations, as described above, as 24. to material facts and/or knowingly concealed material information from Plaintiff.
- 25. Because of all Defendants' wrongful acts and omissions, Plaintiff was forced to retain the professional services of the law firm who is representing Plaintiff with respect to these causes of action.

#### VII. CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT TRAVELERS

- Defendant Travelers is liable to Plaintiff for intentional breach of contract, as well as 26. intentional violations of the Texas Insurance Code and intentional breach of the common law duty —of good faith and fair dealing.
  - **Breach of Contract.**

The Policy is a valid, binding and enforceable contract between Plaintiff and Defendant 27. Travelers. Defendant Travelers breached the contract by refusing to perform its obligations under the terms of the Policy and pursuant to Texas law. Defendant Travelers's breach proximately caused Plaintiff injuries and damages. All conditions precedent required under the Policy have been performed, excused, waived or otherwise satisfied by Plaintiff, or Defendant is estopped from raising the issue due to Defendant's prior breach of the insurance contract.

#### Noncompliance With Texas Insurance Code: Unfair Settlement Practices. В.

- The conduct, acts, and/or omissions by Defendant Travelers constituted Unfair Settlement 28. Practices pursuant to TEX. INS. CODE.§541.060(a). All violations under this article are made actionable by TEX.INS.CODE §541.151.
- Defendant Travelers's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of misrepresenting 29: to Plaintiff material facts relating to the coverage at issue, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(1).
- Defendant Travelers's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of failing to attempt 30. in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even-though Defendant Travelers's liability under the Policy was reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive-act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(2)(A).
- Defendant Travelers's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of failing to promptly 31. provide Plaintiff with a reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for its offer of a compromise settlement of the claim, constitutes an unfair method

of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex.Ins.Code §541.060(3).

- 32. Defendant Travelers's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of failing within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of the claim to Plaintiff or to submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex.Ins.Code §541.060(4).
- Defendant Travelers's unfair settlement practice, as described above, of refusing to pay Plaintiff's claim without conducting a reasonable investigation, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(7).
- Defendant Travelers's conduct described above compelled Plaintiff to initiate a lawsuit to recover amounts due under its policy by offering substantially less than the amount ultimately recovered. Defendant Travelers refused to even offer more than its own grossly undervalued estimates despite actual damages which were much greater. This continued failure compelled Plaintiff to-file suit. Tex.INS.Code §542.003(5).

#### C. Prompt Payment Of Claims Violations.

- The Claim is a claim under an insurance policy with Defendant Travelers of which Plaintiff gave Defendant Travelers proper notice. Defendant Travelers is liable for the Claim. Defendant Travelers violated the prompt payment of claims provisions of Tex. INS. CODE § 542.051, et seq. by:
  - a) Failing to acknowledge receipt of the Claim, commence investigation of the Claim, and/or request from Plaintiff all items, statements, and forms that Defendant Travelers reasonably believed would be required within the time constraints provided by Tex. INS. CODE §542.055;

- b) Failing to notify Plaintiff in writing of its acceptance or rejection of the Claim within the applicable time constraints provided by Tex. Ins. CODE §542.056; and/or by
- c) Delaying payment of the Claim following Defendant Travelers's receipt of all items, statements, and forms reasonably requested and required, longer than the amount of time provided by Tex. Ins. Code §542.058.
- 36. Defendant Travelers's violations of these prompt payment of claims provisions of the Texas Insurance Code are made actionable by Tex.Ins.Code §542.060.

#### D. Breach Of The Duty Of Good Faith And Fair Dealing.

37. Defendant Travelers breached the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing owed to Plaintiff by denying or delaying payment on the Claim when Defendant Travelers knew or should have known that its liability to Plaintiff was reasonably clear. Defendant Travelers's conduct proximately caused Plaintiff injuries and damages.

# VIII. CAUSES OF ACTION AGAINST DEFENDANT MONZINGO

38. Defendant Monzingo is an insurance adjuster that was assigned or otherwise engaged by Monzingo to adjust the claim.

#### A. Noncompliance With Texas Insurance Code: Unfair Settlement-Practices.

- The conduct, acts, and/or omissions by Defendant Monzingo, while adjusting the Claim constituted Unfair Settlement Practices pursuant to Tex. INS. CODE.§541.060(a). All violations under this article are made actionable by Tex.INS.CODE §541.151.
- 40. Defendant Monzingo is individually liable for unfair and deceptive acts, irrespective of the fact this Defendant was acting on behalf of Defendant Monzingo, because Defendant Monzingo is a "person" as defined by Tex.Ins.Code §541.002(2). The term "person" is defined as "any individual, corporation, association, partnership, reciprocal or interinsurance exchange, Lloyds

plan, fraternal benefit society, or other legal entity engaged in the business of insurance, including an agent, broker, adjuster or life and health insurance counselor." TEX.INS.CODE §541.002(2) (emphasis added). (See also Liberty Mutual Insurance Co. v. Garrison Contractors, Inc., 966 S.W.2d 482, 484 (Tex.1998)(holding an insurance company employee to be a "person" for the purpose of bringing a cause of action against them under the Texas Insurance Code and subjecting them to individual liability).

- 41. The unfair settlement practices of Defendant Monzingo, as described above, in misrepresenting to Plaintiff material facts relating to the coverage at issue, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. Tex.Ins.Code §541.060(1).
- The unfair settlement practices of Defendant Monzingo, as described above, in failing to 42. attempt in good faith to effectuate a prompt, fair, and equitable settlement of the claim, even though liability under the Policy is reasonably clear, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(2)(A).
- The unfair settlement practices of Defendant Monzingo, as described above, in failing to 43. promptly provide the Plaintiff with a reasonable explanation of the basis in the Policy, in relation to the facts or applicable law, for the offer of a compromise settlement of Plaintiff's claim, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(3).
- The unfair settlement practices of Defendant Monzingo as described above, in failing 44. within a reasonable time to affirm or deny coverage of the claim to Plaintiff or to submit a reservation of rights to Plaintiff, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE §541.060(4).

- The unfair settlement practices of Defendant Monzingo, as described above, in refusing to 45. pay Plaintiff's claim without conducting a reasonable investigation, constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act or practice in the business of insurance. TEX.INS.CODE **§**541.060(7).
- The unfair settlement practices of Defendant Monzingo, as described above, compelled 46. Plaintiff to initiate a lawsuit to recover amounts due under its policy by offering substantially less than the amount ultimately recovered. Defendant Monzingo refused to even offer more than their own grossly undervalued estimates despite actual damages which were much greater. This continued failure compelled Plaintiff to file suit. TEX.INS.CODE §542.003(5).

#### IX. KNOWLEDGE

47. Each of the Defendants' acts described above, together and singularly, was done "knowingly" as that term is used in the Texas Insurance Code and was a producing cause of Plaintiff's damages described herein.

#### Χ. **DAMAGES**

- Plaintiff will show that all the aforementioned acts, taken together or singularly, constitute 48. the producing causes of the damages sustained by Plaintiff.
- For breach of contract, Plaintiff is entitled to regain the benefit of Plaintiff's bargain, which 49. is the amount of Plaintiff's claim, together with attorney fees.
- For noncompliance with the Texas Insurance Code, Unfair Settlement Practices, Plaintiff 50. is entitled to actual damages, which include the loss of the benefits that should have been paid pursuant to the Policy, mental anguish, court costs and attorney's fees. For knowing conduct of

the acts complained of, Plaintiff asks for three times Plaintiff's actual damages. TEX.INS.CODE §541.152.

- For noncompliance with Texas Insurance Code, Prompt Payment of Claims, Plaintiff is 51. entitled to the amount of Plaintiff's claim, as well as eighteen (18) percent interest per annum of the amount of Plaintiff's claim as damages, together with attorney's fees. TEX.INS.CODE §542.060.
- For breach of the common law duty of good faith and fair dealing, Plaintiff is entitled to 52. compensatory damages, including all forms of loss resulting from the insurer's breach of duty, such as additional costs, economic hardship, losses due to nonpayment of the amount the insurer owed, exemplary damages and damages for emotional distress.
- For the prosecution and collection of this claim, Plaintiff has been compelled to engage the services of the law firms whose names are subscribed to this pleading. Therefore, Plaintiff is entitled to recover a sum for the reasonable and necessary services of Plaintiff's attorneys in the preparation and trial of this action, including any appeals to the Court of Appeals and/or the Supreme Court of Texas.

#### XI. JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff hereby requests a jury trial and tenders-the-appropriate jury fee. 54.

#### XII. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194, Plaintiff requests that Defendants disclose 55. the information or material described in Rule 194.2.

#### XIII. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff prays that upon trial hereof, Plaintiff has and recovers such sums as would reasonably and justly compensate Plaintiff in accordance with the rules of law and procedure, both as to actual damages, statutory penalties and interest, treble damages under the Texas Insurance Code and all punitive and exemplary damages as may be found. In addition, Plaintiff requests the award of attorney's fees for the trial and any appeal of this ease, for all costs of Court on their behalf expended, for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as allowed by law, and for any other and further relief, either at law or in equity, to which Plaintiff may be justly entitled.

Respectfully submitted,

#### GREEN & BARTON

/s/ William. T. Jones, Jr. By: WILLIAM T. JONES JR. State Bar No.: 24032601 bjones@GBTriallaw.com ROBERT D. GREEN State Bar No.: 08368025 green@greentriallaw.com DANIEL P. BARTON State Bar No.: 00789774 dbarton@bartonlawgroup.com ROY J. ELIZONDO, III State Bar No. 24036519 relizondo@GBTriallaw.com 1201 Shepherd Drive Houston, Texas 77007 (713) 227-4747- Telephone (713) 621-5900- Fax



MONICA Z. NOTZON JUDGE, 111TH DISTRICT COURT 1110 VICTORIA SUITE #301 3RD FLOOR LAREDO, TEXAS 78040 OFFICE (956)523-4230 FAX (956)523-5088

FERNANDO RAMOS BAILIFF (956)523-4227

August 09, 2017

ABEL SOLIZ
CIVIL COURT COORDINATOR

(956)523-4226

CAUSE NO.: 2017CVH001555D2

STYLE: <u>IOSE CARDENAS</u>

VS

THE TRAVELERS HOME AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY; CODY MONZINGO

#### NOTICE OF CALENDAR CALL

Please take notice that this case is set for Calendar Call on 11/06/2017 at 11:00 AM at the 111th District Court, 3rd Floor, Webb County Justice Center.

All Calendar Call hearings will be in open Court and on the record before the Honorable Judge Monica Z. Notzon. Your presence is MANDATORY unless Counsel for Plaintiff(s) and Defendant(s) have in place a pre-trial guideline order with both Counsel and Judge's signatures prior to calendar call date. This guideline order should have all appropriate dates including pre-trial, jury selection and all deadlines.

Counsel for Plaintiff(s) please note that if you do not appear for calendar call your case may be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

Counsel for Defendant(s) please note that if you do not appear for calendar call, a pre-trial guideline order may be entered with or without your approval and/or signature.

If there are any questions regarding this matter please feel free to call our office at any time.

Civil Court Coordinator

111<sup>th</sup> District Court



Procettive Legal Solutions 440 Beninar Dr. Suite 1290 Houston, TX 77060 THE TRAVELERS HOWE & MARINE INS. 10.

R/A: CSC

211 E. 7th ST. STE 62

MUSTIN, TX 78701

Company of the state of the sta